

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,311	07/31/2003	Louis Kovach	510685-163	1877
7	590 08/08/2005		EXAM	INER
BRIAN M. BERLINER, ESQ			MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J	
OMELVENY & MYERS LLP 400 SOUTH HOPE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2899			3617	

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۲۵						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/631,311	KOVACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	lune 2005.					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	<del>_</del>					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-31 and 33-46 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays   15	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/631,311

Art Unit: 3617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-31 and 33-46 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al (US 5,749,547) in view of Young et al (US 5,251,856).

Young et al (547) discloses a remote control system for a model train comprised of a user interface in the form of a remote control 12 that is operably connected to a controller 14. The controller contains a microprocessor that receives signals from the remote control 12, interprets them and transmits them through the track to the train. The processor uses a conventional DC offset signal as described in column 5 lines 10-50. The remote 12 is further comprised of buttons for various train controls, as shown in figure 2, and a rotating knob 36 for varying the speed of the train. The speed is varied by varying the voltage of the signal transmitted from the remote 12 and detected and transmitted by the processor. The controller 14 is connected to an electric transformer. The processor in the controller 14 interprets the signal from the remote control 12 and communicates with the transformer as to how much voltage to apply to the track to control the rate of speed of the train. Both the controller 14 and transformer are electrically and mechanically connected to the track, shown in figure 1.

Young et al (547) discloses the system as disclosed above. However Young et al (547) does not discloses the use of a voltage sensor to determine the voltage from the transformer, nor does Young et al (547) disclose the controller to determine the speed of the train responsive to the sensor. Young et al (856) discloses a train control system comprised of voltage sensors U1A and U1B which monitor the voltage provided to the train from the transformer. The controller 114 sends signals to a base unit which take in the information from the controller 114 and the sensors U1A and U1B. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied voltage sensors, like those used in Young et al (856) to the system of Young et al (547) in order to better monitor the speed of the train and to better convey command messages to the vehicles with out causing damage to the system or the vehicles.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-31 and 33-46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3617

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,311

Art Unit: 3617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J. McCarry, Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 3617

RJM July 27, 2005

S. JOSÉPH MORANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600